

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Ann Surg Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 July 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Ann Surg Oncol. 2011 July ; 18(7): 1837–1844. doi:10.1245/s10434-010-1468-3.

POORER SURVIVAL OUTCOMES FOR MALE BREAST CANCER COMPARED TO FEMALE BREAST CANCER MAY BE ATTRIBUTABLE TO IN-STAGE MIGRATION

Jennifer L. Gnerlich, MD¹, Anjali D. Deshpande, PhD, MPH^{2,3}, Donna B. Jeffe, PhD^{2,3}, Susmitha Seelam, MSPH⁴, Eric Kimbuende, MSPH⁴, and Julie A. Margenthaler, MD^{1,3} ¹Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110

²Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110

³Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO 63110

⁴Department of Community Health, Saint Louis University School of Public Health, St. Louis, MO 63104

Abstract

Background—Male breast cancer accounts for less than 1% of all breast cancers, yet males have a worse prognosis than females with breast cancer.

Methods—Using the 1988–2003 Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program data, we conducted a retrospective, population-based cohort study to investigate stage-specific differences in breast cancer-specific and all-cause mortality between males and females. We calculated adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) using Cox regression models to compare breast cancer-specific and all-cause mortality by stage between males and females, controlling for potential confounding variables.

Results—There were 246,059 patients with a first, single, primary breast cancer (1,541 [0.6%] male; 244,518 [99.4%] female). Compared with females, males were more likely to be older, black, married, diagnosed at more advanced stages, and treated with mastectomy (each p<0.001). Males also were more likely to have lower grade and estrogen/progesterone receptor positive tumors (each p<0.001). After controlling for confounders, males were more likely to die from their breast cancer when compared to females, only if diagnosed with stage I disease (aHR 1.72, CI: 1.15–2.61). For all-cause mortality, males were more likely than females to die at each stage of disease except stage IV.

Conclusion—Although all-cause mortality was higher for men than women at all stages of nonmetastatic breast cancer, higher male breast cancer-specific mortality was attributed to poorer survival in stage I disease. However, this statistical difference is unlikely to be clinically relevant and attributable to in-stage migration.

Keywords

Breast cancer; Male breast cancer; SEER data; Survival

There is no financial interest to disclose.

Address correspondence to: Julie A. Margenthaler, MD 660 S. Euclid Campus Box 8109 St. Louis, MO 63110 Telephone: 314-362-7534 Fax: 314-454-5509 margenthalerj@wudosis.wustl.edu.

INTRODUCTION

Male breast cancer is uncommon, accounting for slightly less than 1% of all breast cancers in the United States and less than 1% of all cancers in men [1]. The American Cancer Society estimates there will be 1,910 new cases of male breast cancer and 440 deaths attributed to this disease in 2009 [1]. In contrast to the recent decline in incidence of female breast cancer, the incidence of male breast cancer has been steadily increasing over the past 3 decades [2–4]. The reason for this rise in incidence of male breast cancer is unknown, but it is likely due to several factors, including a longer lifespan, increased public awareness, and rising levels of obesity in the general male population [4]. This increasing trend highlights the importance of understanding this cancer and whether it differs from female breast cancer.

There is a paucity of studies investigating either the tumor biology of male breast cancer or treatment strategies for this disease in men. The majority of retrospective studies have not included a male cohort, and there have been no prospective randomized controlled trials conducted for males with breast cancer to date [5]. A major limitation to both retrospective and prospective studies is the relative lack of males diagnosed with breast cancer and the amount of tumor tissue available to study. Another limitation is the inability to generalize results from clinical trials for female breast cancer to the treatment of male breast cancer [5]. As more data on the tumor biology of male breast cancer emerge, it is becoming clear that male breast cancer is a unique disease requiring its own trials and treatment guidelines.

In the past two decades, several retrospective studies have described the epidemiology of male breast cancer and its prognosis, with inconsistent results. Some studies showed that there was worse prognosis associated with male breast cancer compared with female breast cancer, and explained this worse prognosis in a variety of ways, including more advanced stage at diagnosis, older age at diagnosis, inappropriate staging, increased comorbidity, and more aggressive tumor biology in males with breast cancer [6–11]. Other studies observed no association between male breast cancer and survival and suggested that the sex of the patient did not influence mortality [2,12–18]. A few of these studies further suggested that the natural history of breast cancer development is the same in both sexes and thus the treatment of male breast cancer should and can follow the same treatment strategies applied to female breast cancer [19,20]. Finally, one study showed that although overall survival was equivalent between the two sexes, the disease-specific survival was significantly better in males with breast cancer [21]. Thus, there is no consensus on the relationship between the patient's sex and prognosis in breast cancer. To expand the knowledge in this area, we used the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program data to investigate differences in breast cancer-specific mortality and all-cause mortality by stage at diagnosis for males and females diagnosed with a primary breast tumor [22].

PATIENTS AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective, population-based cohort study of males and females with a first primary diagnosis of breast cancer recorded in the SEER Program database between January 1, 1988 and December 31, 2003. Nine SEER registries were included–San Francisco-Oakland, Connecticut, Metropolitan Detroit, Hawaii, Iowa, New Mexico, Seattle (Puget Sound), Utah, and Metropolitan Atlanta. We only included patients with a single primary breast cancer (sequence number=00 in the SEER public use dataset). Patients with a second primary breast cancer, two or more primary cancers, or a primary tumor in another organ were excluded from the study. Patients younger than 18 years of age also were excluded.

Demographic information included age at diagnosis (<40, 40–49, 50–64, >65 years), race (Caucasian, African American, other), and marital status (married, unmarried, unknown). Tumor characteristics were classified by stage (*in situ*, I, II, III, IV, unstaged), grade (1, 2, 3, 4, unknown), estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status (positive, negative, unknown), and laterality (right, left, unilateral/unspecified, bilateral). Though data on tumor size and nodal involvement are available in the SEER public-use data, we did not include them in our primary models as potential confounders as this information is also captured in the assigned stage at diagnosis. However, frequencies for T1 subsets were calculated for males and females.

Treatment characteristics included receipt of surgery and radiation therapy. Two SEER variables, "site-specific surgery" (for 1983-1997 records) and "surgery of the primary site" (for 1998–2003 records), were recoded to form a single, dichotomous variable categorizing patients as receiving or not receiving any surgery on the primary site. Patients who received surgical resection were further divided into either having a mastectomy or partial mastectomy (*i.e.*, lumpectomy) of the primary breast tumor. Patients who did not receive any formal resection of their primary tumor or who only underwent breast biopsies for tissue diagnosis were categorized as not having surgery. Patients whose surgery status was not recorded were categorized as "unknown." In addition, patients were categorized as having received or not received radiation therapy (including external-beam radiation, radioactive implants, radioisotopes, or other radiation), or as "unknown," if radiation therapy was not recorded.

Breast cancer-specific mortality (dying of breast cancer specifically) and all-cause mortality (dying of any cause including breast cancer) were the two main outcomes of interest in this study. Vital status was recorded as "alive" or "dead" in the SEER dataset. Survival time (in months) was calculated for each patient using the "Completed Months of Follow-up" variable in the SEER database. The "Cause of Death" recode variable was used to distinguish between deaths due to breast cancer or to other causes. All-cause mortality was determined by comparing males/females who had died with males/females who were alive at the end of the study period or who were alive at their last follow-up. Breast cancer-specific mortality was determined by comparing males/females who were alive at the end of the study period or who were alive at their last follow-up. Breast cancer-specific mortality was determined by comparing males/females whose cause of death was recorded as due to breast cancer with males/females who were alive at the end of the study period, had died due to other causes, or who were alive at their last follow-up.

Chi-square tests were used to compare the distribution of patient demographic, tumor-and treatment-related characteristics between males and females with breast cancer. Cox regression models were generated to describe the relationship between being male and risk of death overall (across all stages) and within each stage at diagnosis. Since death during the study period was the event of interest, patients who were alive at the end of the study period or lost to follow-up before the end of the study period (December 31, 2003) were considered censored. Separate models were generated for the outcomes of all-cause mortality and breast cancer-specific mortality. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for overall and stage-specific breast cancer mortality in males compared to females. Both crude (cHR) and adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) were calculated for each stagespecific comparison, the latter controlling for potential confounders of the relationship between being male and survival (adjusted for age at diagnosis, race, marital status at diagnosis, grade, estrogen receptor status, progesterone receptor status, surgical treatment received, and radiation treatment received). Two-tailed p < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. SPSS 16.0TM statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, 2008) was used for all the analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 279,181 males and females with breast cancer were diagnosed during the 1988–2003 study period; we excluded from the analysis 14 patients who were younger than 18 years of age and 33,108 patients who were diagnosed with two or more primary cancers. Of the remaining 246,059 patients diagnosed with a first, single, primary breast cancer, 1,541 (0.6%) were male and 244,518 (99.4%) were female.

Differences in patient demographics, tumor characteristics, treatments, and outcomes between the two groups are summarized in Table 1. Median age at diagnosis was 65 years (23-103 years) for males and 60 for females (18-108 years). Compared with females, males were more likely to be black, married, and diagnosed at more advanced stages (each p<0.001). Biological characteristics of the tumors also differed significantly between the sexes, with male breast tumors more likely to be lower grade, ER positive, and PR positive (each p<0.001). Males also were more likely to have a mastectomy and less likely to receive radiation compared with females (each p<0.001).

Of the total 246,059 patient cohort, 36.9% of men and 25.0% of women died from any cause, while 15.8% of men and 12.9% of women died from breast cancer specifically. Overall, across all stages of disease, males were more likely to die due to all causes (cHR 1.78, CI: 1.64–1.94) and to breast cancer specifically (cHR 1.42, CI: 1.25–1.61) when compared with females.

The percentages of total deaths due to breast cancer, other causes, or unknown causes are presented for men and women by stage at diagnosis in Table 2. A lower percentage of males were alive at the end of the study compared with females (63.1% vs. 75.0%). Males were more likely to die from other causes (causes other than breast cancer) within each stage at diagnosis compared to females. The percentage of females dying from other causes was fairly stable across stages I-IV (range 11.4–11.8%), while the percentage of males dying from other causes appeared to increase by stage at diagnosis from stages 0-III (range 15.1–20.7%), but not for stage IV disease. As expected for breast cancer-specific mortality, a lower percentage of both males and females with more advanced stages of disease were alive at the end of the study. Also, the proportion of patients who died from breast cancer increased for both males and females diagnosed at more advanced stages of disease.

Multivariate Cox regression models were generated to describe the association between sex and mortality by stage at diagnosis (Table 3). Separate models were estimated for breast cancer-specific mortality and for all-cause mortality by stage of diagnosis comparing males to females. Males were more likely than females to die from all causes at each stage of disease, except stage IV breast cancer. After controlling for potential confounders, males were more likely to die from their breast cancer compared with females only among patients with stage I disease (aHR: 1.72, CI: 1.15–2.61). However, the absolute percentage of stage I deaths included 5.3% males and 3.7% females, representing a difference of only 1.6%. For males with stage I breast cancer, 30 (7%) were T1a, 61 (14%) were T1b, and 340 (79%) were T1c. In contrast, of females with stage I breast cancer, 13827 (15%) were T1a, 23968 (26%) were T1b, and 54388 (59%) were T1c (p<0.05 compared to males).

DISCUSSION

Male breast cancer mortality has not significantly improved in the past three decades [23]. The current study, like previously published studies, showed a poorer survival in males with breast cancer when compared with females [6–11]. Males were more likely to die overall during the 1988–2003 study period, with a significantly higher all-cause mortality compared with females for all stages of disease except for stage IV breast cancer, where there was no

survival disparity between the sexes. Our analysis also showed that males were more likely to die from their breast cancer overall compared with females, but this survival disparity was limited to patients diagnosed with stage I breast cancer. There were no significant sex differences in breast cancer-specific mortality for *in situ* and stages II-IV disease. The lack of stage-specific mortality assessments in many previously published studies may account for the inconsistent findings in the literature. However, the absolute percentage increase in male breast cancer-specific mortality for stage I disease was only 1.6%, which is unlikely to be of significance clinically. Because of the large sample sizes in the SEER dataset, many results are statistically significant even though the odds of a given outcome may be just a few percentage points higher or lower between comparisons.

In the current analysis, male breast cancers were more likely to be diagnosed at more advanced stages of disease (stages II-IV) compared with female breast cancers. The more advanced breast cancer stage at diagnosis for men has been attributed to a delay in diagnosis [2,7]. Given that breast cancer is uncommon in men, there is not a high index of suspicion for the disease, and there are no routine screening guidelines for men as there are for women. There also is a lack of public awareness of this disease in men. Thus, the delay in diagnosis comes from both the general public not being cognizant of the disease and physicians not recognizing the signs and symptoms of this rare cancer.

Consistent with previous studies, males in this study tended to be older than females at time of diagnosis [2,24]. Older age at diagnosis is associated with a higher prevalence of comorbidities, which likely explains the overall poorer survival among male compared with female breast cancer patients. Donegan et al. [8] reported that a major contributor to the poor survival in male breast cancer patients was from the high rate of post-treatment mortality from comorbid disease. Approximately one third of post-treatment deaths were due to heart disease or other non-breast cancers [8]. Only in stage IV disease was the all-cause mortality similar between the sexes; one would expect that the risk of dying from metastatic breast cancer would be high regardless of other prognostic factors for both men and women.

There are other risk factors that are similar between the two sexes; genetic factors serve an important role in both male and female breast cancers, with *BRCA2* mutations associated with a significant number of inherited male breast cancers [25]. Increased estrogen levels due to obesity, testicular disorders, Klinefelter's syndrome, and radiation exposure also seem to be consistently associated with male breast cancer [25]. Male breast tumors also tend to be characterized by lower grade, ER and PR positivity, and Bcl-2 over expression when compared with female breast tumors [23,24,26,27]. Conflicting data regarding the prognostic effect of HER-2/neu positive tumors in males has been reported with many studies showing lower expression or lack of prognostic significance compared with female tumors, and some studies showing over expression [23,28–31]. The sex differences in epidemiologic risk factors and tumor biology indicate that prognosis and treatment, particularly endocrine and HER-2/neu therapies, may differ for male and female breast cancers.

Although it may be counterintuitive to think that male breast tumors may be more likely than female breast tumors to be hormone receptor positive, data suggest that over 80% of male breast tumors are ER positive [2,5,24,26]. Yet, there are inconsistent findings regarding the benefits of treating male breast tumors with the same endocrine therapies used to treat female breast tumors (*e.g.*, tamoxifen). Although two studies reported endocrine therapy was associated with improved survival in men [32,33], other studies suggested that male ER positive tumors do not respond to tamoxifen therapy in the same manner as female ER positive tumors do; consequently, hormone receptor positivity does not translate into better prognosis for male ER positive breast cancers [9,34,35]. One study found that male

patients who had hormone receptor-positive tumors had an inferior survival compared with female patients despite using similar endocrine therapy [24]. In addition, a recent analysis of the SEER data from 1996 to 2005 suggested that there was a 42% decrease in breast cancerspecific mortality among women compared to only a 28% decrease among men, suggesting that the treatments being used in male breast cancer are not as effective as they are for female breast cancer [36]. Thus, male breast cancer, despite the expression of similar biomarkers, may be different biologically in other unmeasured ways.

While male breast cancers were more likely to be diagnosed at advanced stages of disease, our study is unique in that it demonstrated greater breast cancer-specific mortality for males than females in stage I disease only. There are some possible explanations for this finding. The molecular biology of the tumor and the response of the tumor to traditional management may be one contributing factor responsible for the survival disparities observed. This molecular variability would have the greatest impact in early stage, lymph-node-negative disease, where curative-intent treatment results in >90% long-term survival for female breast cancer patients. Data suggest that certain breast cancers grow more rapidly/aggressively in specific microenvironments [37]. In turn, breast cancer-specific survival can vary widely depending on the site of metastasis. The location of metastatic disease has been shown to correlate with survival: less than 6 months for visceral metastases, 18 months for nodal disease, and 3-4 years for bone-only metastases [38]. Perhaps male breast cancers progress and metastasize differently than female breast cancers. If this is true, based on our observations, the difference would be most pronounced for patients with stage I disease.

Although biological differences likely exist between female and male breast cancers, we acknowledge that our finding of a statistically significant difference in survival for males with stage I breast cancer is likely due to in-stage migration. This is supported by the finding that males with T1 tumors were more likely to have larger T1 tumors than their female counterparts. This is not unexpected given the fact that females are more likely to have a T1 breast cancer identified asymptomatically by screening mammography, whereas males are more likely to have a T1 breast cancer identified by a palpable mass on examination. Hill et al. (11) demonstrated the impact of screening mammography in the female population compared to the male population over time. Prior to 1980, there was no significant effect of gender on the proportion of advanced stage of disease at diagnosis. Since 1980, there has been a decreasing trend in the proportion of advanced stage disease in women, corresponding with an increase in screening mammography, while the trend among men does not demonstrate a marked decrease in incidence at the advanced stages (11). We believe that the lack of screening in males and the resultant in-stage migration observed in our T1 subgroup account for the small absolute difference in survival observed between males and females with stage I breast cancers.

There are several limitations to our study, which are inherent to any retrospective cohort study. One limitation of retrospective cohort studies is the inability to control for selection bias. Male patients in this study were more likely to be treated by mastectomy and less likely to receive radiation compared with females. The utility of breast-conserving surgery is just starting to be evaluated in men [30,39-41]. Perhaps, the operative management was dictated by the smaller amount of breast tissue in males, central location of the tumor, more advanced disease stage, more nodal involvement, or even larger tumor size [41]. Male patients in this study tended to be older than female patients at diagnosis, which suggests that they also may have had more comorbidities, which plays a role in the treatment decision-making process as well as successful completion of their adjuvant therapy. The SEER database does not contain information about comorbidities, margin status after primary tumor resection, location of and surgery on metastatic disease sites, or receipt and completion of systemic treatments, including chemotherapy and endocrine therapy, which

could potentially affect survival in both men and women. Socioeconomic status and access to health care, both of which could affect treatment options, also are not available in the SEER Program data. Finally genetic risk factors (*e.g., BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutations), family history of breast cancer, and behavioral risk factors (physical activity, alcohol consumption, and use of hormone-replacement therapy) also are unavailable.

The SEER database, while limited in the types of variables that are included, is a highquality national, population-based database that is widely used in epidemiological studies, despite its inherent limitations [42]. After controlling for potential confounders of survival in the multivariate analyses, our study demonstrated a significantly greater breast cancerspecific mortality in stage I disease for males compared with females. However, this difference is small and is likely a statistical observation attributed to in-stage migration, rather than a significant clinical finding. Despite the lack of a significant breast cancerspecific survival between males and females, the pathologic features of male breast cancer and potential lack of response to conventional treatment do warrant further investigation. Future translational studies should focus on the tumor biology and treatment efficacy of male breast cancers in order to determine whether optimum treatment parallels that of female breast cancers.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, Missouri, for the use of the Health Behavior, Communication and Outreach Core. The Core is supported in part by the National Cancer Institute Cancer Center Support Grant #P30 CA91842 to the Siteman Cancer Center.

References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin. 2009; 59:225–249. [PubMed: 19474385]
- Giordano SH, Cohen DS, Buzdar AU, Perkins G, Hortobagyi GN. Breast carcinoma in men: a population-based study. Cancer. 2004; 101:51–57. [PubMed: 15221988]
- Stang A, Thomssen C. Decline in breast cancer incidence in the United States: what about male breast cancer? Breast Cancer Res Treatment. 2008; 112:595–6.
- 4. Speirs V, Shaaban AM. The rising incidence of male breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treatment. 2009; 115:429–30.
- 5. Giordano SH. Male breast cancer: it's time for evidence instead of extrapolation. Onkologie. 2008; 31:505–6. [PubMed: 18854647]
- Ciatto S, Iossa A, Bonardi R, Pacini P. Male breast carcinoma: review of amulticenter series of 150 cases. Coordinating Center and Writing Committee of FONCAM (National Task Force for Breast Cancer), Italy. Tumori. 1990; 76:555–8. [PubMed: 2284691]
- Salvadori B, Saccozzi R, Manzari A, Andreola S, Conti RA, Cusumano F, Grassi M. Prognosis of breast cancer in males: an analysis of 170 cases. Eur J Cancer. 1994; 30A:930–5. [PubMed: 7946586]
- Donegan WL, Redlich PN, Lang PJ, Gall MT. Carcinoma of the breast in males: a multiinstitutional survey. Cancer. 1998; 83:498–509. [PubMed: 9690543]
- Yildirim E, Berberoglu U. Male breast cancer: a 22-year experience. Eur J Surg Oncol. 1998; 24:548–52. [PubMed: 9870732]
- Tajima N, Tsukuma H, Oshima A. Descriptive epidemiology of male breast cancer in Osaka, Japan. J Epidemiol. 2001; 11:1–7. [PubMed: 11253905]
- 11. Sandler B, Carmen C, Perry RR. Cancer of the male breast. Am Surg. 1994; 60:816–20. [PubMed: 7978672]
- Thalib L, Hall P. Survival of male breast cancer patients: population-based cohort study. Cancer Sci. 2009; 100:292–5. [PubMed: 19077006]

- Borgen PI, Senie RT, McKinnon WMP, Rosen PP. Carcinoma of the male breast: analysis of prognosis compared with matched female patients. Ann Surg Oncol. 1997; 4:385–88. [PubMed: 9259964]
- Borgen PI, Wong GY, Vlamis V, et al. Current management of breast cancer: a review of 104 cases. Ann Surg. 1992; 215:451–7. [PubMed: 1319699]
- Levi F, Randimbison L, La VC. Breast cancer survival in relation to sex and age. Oncology. 1992; 49:413–7. [PubMed: 1465277]
- Cutuli B, Lacroze M, Dilhuydy JM, et al. Male breast cancer: results of the treatments and prognostic factors in 397 cases. Eur J Cancer. 1995; 31A:1960–4. [PubMed: 8562148]
- 17. Anan K, Mitsuyama S, Nishihara K, et al. Breast cancer in Japanese men: does sex affect prognosis? Breast Cancer. 2004; 11:180–6. [PubMed: 15550865]
- Hill TD, Khamis HJ, Tyczynski JE, Berkel HJ. Comparison of male and female breast cancer incidence trends, tumor characteristics, and survival. Ann Epidemiol. 2005; 15:773–80. [PubMed: 16257362]
- Adami HO, Holmberg L, Malker B, Ries L. Long-term survival in 406 males with breast cancer. Br J Cancer. 1985; 52:99–103. [PubMed: 4015955]
- Atalay C, Kanlioz M, Altinok M. Prognostic factors affecting survival in male breast cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2003; 22:29–33. [PubMed: 12725319]
- 21. El-Tamer MB, Komenaka IK, Troxel A, et al. Men with breast cancer have better disease-specific survival than women. Arch Surg. 2004; 139:1079–82. [PubMed: 15492147]
- 22. National Cancer Institute. [accessed April 21, 2009] Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results. Overview of the SEER Program. Available: http://seer.cancer.gov/about/
- Schaub NP, Maloney N, Schneider H, Feliberti E, Perry R. Changes in male breast cancer over a 30-year period. Am Surg. 2008; 74:707–12. [PubMed: 18705571]
- Nahleh ZA, Srikantiah R, Safa M, Jazieh AR, Muhleman A, Komrokji R. Male breast cancer in the veterans affairs population: a comparative analysis. Cancer. 2007; 109:1471–7. [PubMed: 17342768]
- 25. Weiss JR, Moysich KB, Swede H. Epidemiology of male breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2005; 14:20–26. [PubMed: 15668471]
- Giordano SH, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN. Breast cancer in men. Ann Intern Med. 2002; 137:678– 687. [PubMed: 12379069]
- Temmim L, Luqmani YA, Jarallah M, Juma I, Mathew M. Evaluation of prognostic factors in male breast cancer. Breast. 2001; 10:166–75. [PubMed: 14965580]
- Rudlowski C, Friedrichs N, Faridi A, et al. Her-2/neu gene amplification and protein expression in primary male breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2004; 84:215–23. [PubMed: 15026619]
- 29. Avisar E, McParland E, Dicostanzo D, Axelrod D. Prognostic factors in node-negative male breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer. 2006; 7:331–5. [PubMed: 17092401]
- Onami S, Ozaki M, Mortimer JE, Pal SK. Male breast cancer: an update in diagnosis, treatment, and molecular profiling. Maturitas. 2010; 65(4):308–14. [PubMed: 20138719]
- Blin N, Kardas I, Welter C, Rys J, Niezabitowski A, Limon J, Seitz G. Expression of the c-erbB2 proto-oncogene in male breast carcinoma: lack of prognostic significance. Oncology. 1993; 50:408–11. [PubMed: 7901823]
- Giordano SH, Perkins GH, Broglio K, Garcia SG, Middleton LP, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN. Adjuvant systemic therapy for male breast carcinoma. Cancer. 2005; 104:2359–64. [PubMed: 16270318]
- Ribeiro G, Swindell R. Adjuvant tamoxifen for male breast cancer (MBC). Br J Cancer. 1992; 65:252–4. [PubMed: 1739625]
- Goss PE, Reid C, Pintilie M, Lim R, Miller N. Male breast carcinoma: a review of 229 patients who presented to the Princess Margaret Hospital during 40 years: 1955-1996. Cancer. 1999; 85:629–39. [PubMed: 10091736]
- 35. Wang-Rodriguez J, Cross K, Gallagher S, Djahanban M, Armstrong JM, Wiedner N, Shapiro DH. Male breast carcinoma: correlation of ER, PR, Ki-67, Her2-Neu, and p53 with treatment and survival, a study of 65 cases. Mod Pathol. 2002; 15:853–61. [PubMed: 12181271]

- 36. Anderson WF, Jatoi I, Tse J, Rosenberg PS. Male breast cancer: a population-based comparison with female breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:232–239. [PubMed: 19996029]
- Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, et al. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98:10869–10873. [PubMed: 11553815]
- Esteva FJ, Valero V, Pusztai L, Boehnke-Michaud L, Buzdar AU, Hortobagyi GN. Chemotherapy of metastatic breast cancer: what to expect in 2001 and beyond. Oncologist. 2001; 6:133–146. [PubMed: 11306725]
- Wang J, Kollias J, Marsh C, Maddern G. Are males with early breast cancer treated differently from females with early breast cancer in Australia and New Zealand? Breast (Edinburgh). 2009; 18:378–81.
- 40. Gough DB, Donohue JH, Evans MM, Pernicone PJ, Wold LE, Naessens JM, O'Brien PC. A 50year experience of male breast cancer: is outcome changing? Surg Oncol. 1993; 2:325–33. [PubMed: 8130939]
- 41. Golshan M, Rusby J, Dominguez F, Smith BL. Breast conservation for male breast carcinoma. Breast (Edinburgh). 2007; 16:653–6.
- 42. Merrill RM, Dearden KA. How representative are the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) program cancer data of the United States. Cancer Cause Control. 2004; 15:1027–34.

SYNOPSIS

Males with breast cancer have a poorer prognosis than females. Compared with females at each diagnostic stage, we observed higher breast cancer-specific mortality in males only at stage I but higher all-cause mortality in males at each stage except stage IV. The higher mortality for men with stage I disease may be attributable to in-stage migration.

Table 1

Characteristics of Persons with a Single Primary Breast Cancer Occurrence, SEER 1988-2003.

Male (%)(n=1,541) Female (%)(n=244,518) Chi-square p-value

Demographic characteris	tics		
Age (years)			< 0.001
<50	15.2	26.0	
50-59	21.5	22.8	
60–69	25.7	21.2	
>70	37.6	30.0	
Race			< 0.001
White	82.0	84.2	
Black	12.0	8.4	
Others	5.1	6.9	
Unknown	1.0	0.5	
Marital Status			< 0.001
Not Married	30.0	40.0	
Married	65.3	55.2	
Unknown	4.7	4.8	
Tumor characteristics			
Stage			< 0.001
In situ	10.8	15.3	
I	28.0	37.7	
П	40.2	31.6	
III	7.5	5.4	
IV	6.3	4.1	
Unstaged	7.2	5.9	
Grade			< 0.001
1	9.9	12.4	
2	34.7	29.4	
3	26.2	26.8	
4	2.4	3.2	
Unknown	26.8	28.1	
ER status			< 0.001
Positive	56.1	49.1	
Negative	5.6	15.1	
Unknown	38.4	35.9	
PR status			< 0.001
Positive	48.2	41.8	
Negative	12.3	20.9	
Unknown	39.5	37.3	

	Male (%)(n=1,541)	Female (%)(n=244,518)	Chi-square p-value
Nodal involvement			< 0.001
No	54.7	65.3	
Yes	34.6	26.0	
Unknown	10.7	8.8	
Laterality			0.012
Right	48.0	48.3	
Left	49.7	50.4	
Unilateral/unspecified	0.5	0.2	
Bilateral	1.8	1.1	
Treatment characteristics			
Radiation			< 0.001
No	74.6	56.3	
Yes	23.0	41.2	
Unknown	2.4	2.5	
Surgery			< 0.001
None	5.5	5.6	
Lumpectomy	19.4	57.1	
Mastectomy	74.2	36.6	
Unknown	0.9	0.7	
Outcomes			
Vital status			< 0.001
Alive	63.1	75.0	
Dead	36.9	25.0	
Cause of death			< 0.001
None (Alive)	63.1	75.0	
Breast cancer	15.8	12.9	
Other cause	19.4	11.2	
Unknown	1.7	0.8	

Percentages shown are for column totals.

SEER = Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results; ER = Estrogen Receptor; PR=Progesterone Receptor

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 2

	÷
2	2
5	2
\leq	Q.
C	
4	≚
~	\sim
č	ñ
ž	ř
2	2
	-
^	1
r.	7
E	ų.
ſ	Ц
τ	ń
	1
-	ŝ
•	H
1	Š.
1	2
	Ε.
1	ວມ
	σ
7	5
6	
4	-
	σ
	1
	50
	20
4	2
τ	0
1	ſ.,
- 1	≻
4	
	1
- 1	5
	Ξ.
	7
	2
	Ξ.
1	ວ.
1	\mathbf{c}
0	7
	_
	Η.
1	ų.
	2
	Ξ.
1	$\overline{\sigma}$
(ر
1	Ξ.
	5
-	5
	Ð,
1	÷.
£	η
1	
- i	\sim
- 1	5
1	Ē
	1 III
	7 U U U
	e rumé
	tie rums
-1- C	igle rnmå
	ingle rums
	Single Frime
. u -1	Single Frime
. u - 1	a omgle rnm:
. u - 1	i a Single Frimi
μ	un a Single Frima
	iun a single rum
	with a Single Frims
	with a single runs
	is with a Single Frime
	ins with a Single Frime
	ons with a Single Films
. u -11+.	sons with a single rums
	rsons with a single rums
μ	ersons with a single rums
μ	rersons with a single rink
U - I	r Fersons with a Single Films
	or rersons with a single rink
τ- Π	IOT PERSONS WILL & MINE FILMS
- τ - μ - τ - μ - μ - μ - μ - μ - μ - μ	s for Persons with a Mingle Prime
- τ - μ μ μ μ.	es lor Persons with a Mingle Frima
τ- μ	nes for Persons with a Mingle Frima
	mes for Persons with a Single Films
. u -1 u -1-	comes for Persons with a single rum:
	comes for Persons with a Single Films
	acomes for Persons with a Single Frime
	Jucomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prim
	1 Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	in Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	ain Outcomes for Persons with a Single Frime
	eath Outcomes for Persons with a Single Frime
	Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Frime
$\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$	Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Frime
$E \mathbf{D} \rightarrow 4 + \mathbf{O} \rightarrow 4 + \mathbf{D} \rightarrow $	I Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
$f = \frac{1}{2} $	or Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
F D $- H$ D $- H$ D $- H$ -	e of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
F D $\rightarrow H$ O $\rightarrow H$	se of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
\mathbf{f}	use of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} + $	ause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	ause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
\mathcal{C}	Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
$f \cap f \cap f \cap f$	d Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
\mathbf{F}	nd Cause of Death Outcomes for Fersons with a Single Prime
$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{r}}$	and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a single Print
	s and Cause of Death Outcomes for Fersons with a Single Prime
	is and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
\mathbf{F}	tus and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
f = f = f = f = f = f = f = f = f = f =	atus and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} + $	viatus and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	Status and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	I Status and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
$-f \mathbf{D} - f \mathbf{D} - $	al status and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
F_{1}	Ital Status and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a Single Prime
	vital status and Cause of Death Outcomes for Persons with a single Prime

Stage	N (%)	Alive (%)*	Death due to breast cancer (%)*	Death due to other causes (%) st	Unknown cause of death (%)*
Men					
In situ	166 (10.8)	83.1	1.2	15.1	0.6
I	431 (28.0)	75.4	5.3	18.1	1.2
II	620 (40.2)	62.7	14.5	20.6	2.1
III	116 (7.5)	38.8	37.9	20.7	2.6
IV	97 (6.3)	26.8	56.7	14.5	2.1
Unstaged	111 (7.2)	45.0	26.1	27.0	1.8
Overall	1,541 (100.0)	63.1	15.8	19.4	1.7
Women					
In situ	37,313 (15.3)	93.2	0.5	5.9	0.4
I	92,125 (37.7)	83.8	3.7	11.8	0.7
II	77,347 (31.6)	71.8	15.7	11.6	1.0
III	13,266 (5.4)	48.4	38.9	11.5	1.1
IV	10,021 (4.1)	19.3	67.7	11.4	1.5
Unstaged	14,446 (5.9)	52.7	27.2	18.8	1.3
Overall	244,518 (100.0)	75.0	13.0	11.2	0.8
* Percentage:	s shown are for row	/ totals and are 1	rounded. SEER, Surveillance, Epidem	uology, and End Results.	

Table 3

Crude and Adjusted Hazard Ratios for Breast Cancer-specific and All-cause Mortality by Stage at Diagnosis Comparing Men with Women

	Breast cancer-specific mortality		All-cause mortality	
Stage	cHR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)	cHR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)
In situ	2.87 (0.71–11.57)	3.09 (0.76–12.51)	2.77 (1.91-4.02)	2.96 (2.04-4.30)
Ι	1.77 (1.18–2.67)	1.72 (1.15–2.61)	1.93 (1.60–2.34)	1.79 (1.48–2.17)
II	1.11 (0.90–1.36)	1.20 (0.98–1.48)	1.60 (1.40–1.82)	1.47 (1.29–1.67)
III	1.19 (0.88–1.59)	1.19 (0.88–1.60)	1.45 (1.15–1.84)	1.35 (1.07–1.71)
IV	0.86 (0.66–1.12)	0.91 (0.70–1.19)	0.94 (0.74–1.18)	1.00 (0.79–1.26)
Unstaged	1.04 (0.72–1.50)	1.28 (0.89–1.85)	1.28 (1.00–1.65)	1.53 (1.19–1.98)

Reference group for each model was 'women'.

cHR: crude hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; aHR: adjusted hazard ratio (adjusted for age at diagnosis, race, marital status at diagnosis, grade, estrogen receptor status, progesterone receptor status, surgical treatment received, and radiation treatment received).